IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.8405 OF 2005

Mr Ibrahim Abdul Maniar, .. Petitioner
Vs
State of Maharashtra and anr .. Respondents

Ms Seema Gupta i/b Mr A.M.Saraogi, for the petitioner.
Mr M.H.Solkar, AGP for the respondent-State.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.
DATE : 07.11.2006
PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner has impugned the order which was obtained by the learned counsel appearing for the parties by consent. The impugned order reads thus;

> "Read the application of plaintiff along with say of defendant Advocate. Heard the argument under the facts and circumstances of this case, plaintiff is ready to pay charges for bringing the original defendant Faiz Mohammed Suleman Khonkhan for bringing before court, C.A.of defendant already agreed that he will bring him before the court subject to travelling charges. Hence both advocates have also agreed before me. Hence I pass following order.
>
> 1. Plaintiff is directed to pay travelling charges Rs.800/- (Eight hundred only) to the C.A. of the defendant. Matter is kept on 14.11.05 for further hearing."

3. I am informed that the petitioner has deposited the amount of Rs.800/- as per the order. I fail to

understand as to why the aforesaid order has been challenged by the petitioner. The writ petition is devoid of any merits and it is dismissed as such. It is always open for the petitioner to file an appropriate application seeking appropriate directions before the trial Court if the aforesaid order is not complied with. If such an application is made, same may be considered in accordance with law.

(D.B.BHOSALE,J.)